

(b)(6)

From: Michael Morell [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2014 10:02 AM
To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
Cc: Bill Harlow; [redacted] (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Meeting info

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

Thank you very much for scheduling the meeting:

And thanks for the letter, particularly the approvals of our rewrites.

But since your letter to me is a legal document, I should respond to some of the points.

1. We still plan to pushback on some of redactions you continue to want to make on our rewrites in Section One in my email of 13 June 2014. You will need to explain WHY you believe the material to be classified. You cannot just assert it.

(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(5)

Michael

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

On Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 4:31 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

(b)(3) CIAAct [REDACTED] has sent an updated letter to you.
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct

The SME meeting with you is Wednesday, 2 July at 1000 in [REDACTED]. DD/CIO will chair.

Bill, we have cleared you in.

Let me know if you have any questions. Otherwise, will see you next week.

(b)(6)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 9:30 AM
To: [REDACTED] (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Re: Next Week (b)(6)

(b)(6)

Thanks [redacted] I reached out to Meroe this morning.

Sent from my iPad

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

On Jun 27, 2014, at 9:03 AM, [redacted] wrote:

We're addressing the meeting issue now and should have some news for you by close of business.

(b)(6)

From: Michael Morell [redacted]

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 9:15 AM

To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct

Subject: Next Week (b)(6)

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Where do we stand on next week? I'm holding the entire week open on my calendar, and that is not easy. We need to nail this down. Let me know if I need to call Meroe, Avril, or John to break this loose, as I know that the PRB is not the problem here. Thanks.

Michael